

Universidad Tecnológica de Pereira Departamento de Humanidades e Idiomas Licenciatura en Bilingüismo Programa Fundamentos de Investigación (LI362)



Professor: Nora Lucia Marulanda, Ph.D. Email: <u>lucia.marulanda@utp.edu.co</u> Group 1: Monday 2:00 – 4:00, Group 3: Tuesday 2:00 – 4:00 Credits: 2 In-class hours per week:2 Autonomous work: 4 Office hours for students: By appointment Schoology: 1. GSVJJ-QMFPS

3. BN3HK-FV2SF

COURSE OVERVIEW

This course provides an introduction to the components and requirements of each of the graduation projects' modalities: formative research, systematization of the practicum and the educational/administrative assistant practicum.

Throughout the course, students will be exposed to different types of formative research, the design of research proposals and the major paradigms in social investigations. In addition, students will become familiar with the elements that comprise the systematization of the practicum and its rationale. Finally, students will interact with the principles related to becoming innovating educational leaders in the teaching/ learning field.

THE COURSE WILL ENABLE STUDENTS TO:

- 1. Improve academic reading and writing skills.
- 2. Read and critically analyze texts about qualitative, quantitative and classroom-action research in the ELT field.
- 3. Apply thinking skills such as analyzing, formulating arguments, classifying, summarizing and reflecting.

- 4. Broaden students' knowledge base on current ELT issues and educational innovational practices.
- 5. Acquire and apply observation techniques in order to guide self-reflection processes.
- 6. Critically discuss and write expository and argumentative essays related to their own paradigms of research and types of educational innovations.
- 7. Utilize common and specialized vocabulary to construct complex sentences about aspects related the units of study.
- 8. Write reports, proposals, and literature reviews to explain, present and articulate different ideas, opinions and points of view regarding topic of research interest.

STUDENTS ARE EXPECTED TO:

- Respect and follow classroom rules.
- Attend and actively participate in class sessions.
- Review course readings prior to each lesson.
- Follow lectures, talks and presentations using different note-taking strategies such us: outlines, mind maps, note cards, etc.
- Complete all assignments and follow-up activities (oral and written).
- Write and present a research project proposal about a topic of interest (3 pages maximum).
- Write and present classroom project's research question and objectives (2 pages maximum).
- Write the literature review for any of the two graduation project modalities (five to six pages maximum)

Concerning Written Assignments:

- ✓ Students must follow APA rules
- ✓ Students must use the general criteria for the communicative competences for B2 (CEFR) as reference. Written documents and oral presentations will be evaluated based on this rubric

General criteria for the communicative competence

Overall listening comprehension for B2 (independent users)

	OVERALL LISTENING COMPREHENSION	STRATEGIES TO ACHIEVE COMPREHENSION	PROCESSING SPOKEN INPUT	SOCIOLINGUITIC AND PRAGMATICS
LEVEL 4 (B2)	Can understand standard spoken language, live or broadcast, on both familiar and unfamiliar topics normally encountered in personal, social, academic or vocational life. Only extreme background noise, inadequate discourse structure and/or idiomatic usage influences the ability to understand.	Use a variety of strategies to achieve comprehension, including listening for main points; checking comprehension by using contextual clues*	Can understand recordings in standard dialect likely to be encountered in social, professional or academic life and identify speaker viewpoints and attitudes as well as the information content.	Identify instances of bias and prejudice in a spoken message.
	Can understand the main ideas of propositionally and linguistically complex speech on both concrete and abstract topics delivered in a standard dialect, including technical discussions in his/her field of specialization.		Can follow a lecture or talk within his/her own field, provided the subject matter is familiar and the presentation straightforward and clearly structured.	Specify how bias and prejudice may affect the impact of a spoken Message
	Can follow extended speech and complex lines of argument provided the topic is reasonably familiar, and the direction of the talk is sign-posted by explicit markers.		Can keep up with an animated conversation between native speakers.	Demonstrate an awareness of personal, ideological, and emotional biases.

Overall oral production for B2 (independent users)

	GENERAL ORAL PRODUCTION SKILLS	SPEAKING STRATEGIES	PRAGMATIC ORAL SKILL	SOCIOLINGUISTIC SKILLS	
LEVEL 4 (B2)	Employ an extensive vocabulary of common and specialized leximes to construct propositionally and linguistically complex sentences on concrete and abstract themes in his/her field of specialization.	Effectively employ linguistic and non- linguistic techniques in order to initiate, maintain, inter-vene in, take and yield "the floor" and end conversations.	Deliver a lecture or talk within own field, pro-vided the subject matter is familiar and the presentation straightforward and clearly structured.	Effectively use supra- segmental aspects of discourse (e.g. intonation, register, body language, etc.) to convey indirect messages.	
	Formulate extended, continuous discourse employing complex lines of argument on familiar topics		Participate actively in an animated conversation with one or more native speakers.		
	Reproduce English phonemes, word stress, and intonation with s a high degree of accuracy and without errors that interfere with communication	Paraphrase one's own and other speakers messages	Participate fully in interviews, either as inter- viewer or interviewee, fluently expanding and developing points of discussion. Negatiate transactions and soluctions to problems and conflicts	Respond, with a high degree of appropriate-ness, to and supra-segmental cues in order to make subtle adjustments in one's discurse	

	PHONOLO GICAL READING	READING COMPREHENSION				CRITICAL READING		
	READING ALOUD	READING INSTRUC TIONS	OVERALL READING COMPREHENSION	READING CORRESPOND ENCE	READING FOR INFORMATION		READING STRATEGIES	
LEVEL 4 B2	different types of texts with a satisfactor y level of fluency, accuracy and intonation.	Understa nd complex instructio ns in his field.	Show a satisfactory level of understanding of descriptive, narrative and argumentative texts related to personal and professional matters.	Read correspondenc e relating to his field of interest and readily grasp the essential meaning.	Obtain information, ideas and opinions from specialized sources within his field.	Recognize the author's biases. Relate what is happening in the text to their own knowledge of the world.	Identify the main ideas in a text and restate them in their own words by using an outline. Show a deep understandin g of a text by giving a summary of it in their own words and in a condensed form.	
			Read with a satisfactory level of understanding some authentic material from magazines, newspapers and the Internet related to the academic field and give oral presentations to the class.		Understand articles and reports concerned with contemporary problems in which the writers adopt particular viewpoints.	Offer conclusions from facts presented in the text.	React to what they read by stating their position on current and academic issues. Make mind maps to show a general understandin g of the content, and organization of the information in the text.	

Overall reading comprehension for B2 (independent users)

Overall written production for B2 (independent users)

		COMUNICATI	CRITICAL WRITING			
	LIN	IGUISTIC				
	GENERAL LINGUISTIC	GRAMMAR	PRAGMATIC	SOCIOLINGUISTIC	REPORTS AND ESSAYS	WRITIN G STRAT EGIES
LEVEL 4 (B2)	Can use a variety of linking words efficiently to mark clearly the relationships between ideas.	Shows a relatively high degree of grammatical control. Does not make mistakes which lead to misunderstanding	Has a sufficient range of language to be able to give clear descriptions, express viewpoints and develop simple arguments	Can recognise a wide range of idiomatic expressions and colloquialisms and can use them when writing.	Can write an essay or report which develops an argument systematically with appropriate highlighting of significant points and relevant supporting detail	Can design simple and complex mindma ps to write different types of texts.

COURSE TOPICS, READINGS, ACTIVITES & ASSIGNMENTS

EVALUATION

The course is intended to promote self -assessment and autonomy. Students will write papers on some of the materials discussed in class sessions, in addition, they will write and present pre-proposals. Oral interactions are essential throughout the entire course; therefore, they will be assessed as a complement to written assignments.

METHODOLOGY

The methodology implemented in the course will be a mixture of input sessions, guided discussions, students' presentations, and written proposals.

Each class will be guided by the assigned readings. Therefore, students should read all assigned materials prior to class. During class, students will be expected to actively participate, write academic texts and reflect critically on the topics presented by the professor and their peers. Therefore, regular attendance will be required.

Mod.	Week	Topics / Activities	Readings & Assignments	Evaluation and Percentages	Total
	1	What is Research?	Dörnyei, Z. (2007). Research Methods in Applied Linguistics, Chapter 1. Oxford University Press.		
	2	Research Types and Samples of Research Studies	Schutt, R. K (2012).Science, society, and social research, Chapter 1.	Graphic Organizer: Design a classification Chart or Matrix	
Research			Fraenkel J., Wallen N., Hyun H. (2011).How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education, Part 1- Chapter 1. McGraw-Hill Education. NY, New York.	Complete problem sheet 1	
Module 1: Formative Research	3	Basics of Educational Research: problem, questions, sampling	Fraenkel J., Wallen N., Hyun H. (2011).How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education, Part 2 -Chapters. 2,. McGraw- Hill Education. NY, New York.	Chapter 2 Complete Problem Sheet No. 2, P. 36	30%
Module 1:	4	Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods	Dörnyei, Z. (2007). Research Methods in Applied Linguistics, Ch. 2, Pg. 24-46. Oxford University Press.	Graphic organizer: Design a compare and contrast concept Map.	
	5	Writing Research Proposals	Begin to work on Research question & Problem Fraenkel J., Wallen N., Hyun H. (2011). How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education, Ch. 25 P. 614 - 621. McGraw- Hill Education. NY, New York	Oral presentations Research Pre-Proposal: Describe the purpose of the study, justification, research questions and data collection methods to be used.	
	6		First Mid-term Exam		

Modulc 2: Systematization of practicum	7	Classroom Research	Feedback sessions- Pre proposals Dörnyei, Z. (2007). Research Methods in Applied Linguistics: Classroom Research Chapter 8. Oxford University Press. Rust, F., & Clark, C. (2007). How to do action research in your classroom. Lessons from the Teachers Network Leadership Institute. New York: Teachers Network. And in PDF from www.teachersnetwork.org	Case studies oral presentations	30%
ation o	8			Case studies oral presentations	
Systematiz	9	Action Research Samples	Classroom projects components	Case studies oral presentations	
Modulc 2:	10	Classroom Research Projects	LBI students' projects	LBI Classroom research round table discussion. (Evaluation of projects' components)	
	11		Write classroom projects pre- proposals	Write and present the research question and objectives for a classroom project	

Module 3: Práctica Docente Asistencial	12	New Graduation Project modality: Práctica docente asistencial	 Second Mid-term Exam: Classroom project pre- proposal General modality's vision and opportunities. Daniel Goleman, Paul Kafman, Michael Ray. (2000). El espiritu creative Kawasaki, G. (2004). The art of start. Penguin Group. New York, NY. 	Initial / final formats, convenios, evaluation formats	30%
Docer	13	Feedback		Current practicum's projects discussion	
3: Práctica	14	Literature Review	Fraenkel J., Wallen N., Hyun H.(2011).How to Design and Evaluate Research In Education, Part 2- Chapter 3. McGraw-Hill Education. NY, New York.		
odule	15		Literature review draft		
X	16		Feedback session		
	17		Final: Literature review		10%

Evaluation Chart: First term: 30%

In-and-out class activities and 10%
 Research Proposal (written and oral presentation) 20%

Second Term: 30%

In-and-out class activities (round table discussion/ oral presentation)10%
 Classroom project's research question and objectives 20%

Third Term: 30%

1. In-and-out class activities (round table discussion) 10%

2. Literature review draft 20%

Final: Final Literature review 10%

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Chiavenato, I. (n.d.). Introducción a la teoría de la Administración, 8va edición, México D.f., Mc Graw-Hill
- Dörnyei, Z. (2007). Research Methods in Applied Linguistics. Oxford UniversityPress.
- Gámez GutiérreZ Jorge, Emprendimiento, creatividad e innovación, Primera edición, 2015, Universidad de La Salle.
- Hood, A. B. (1998). Teachers voices: Teaching critical literacy. Sydney: Macquarie University.
- J. Fraenkel, N. Wallen, H. Hyun (2011). How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education. McGraw-Hill Education. NY, New York.
- Merseth, K. K. (1998). Teachers' Network Leadership Institute. New York,
- NY. Kawasaki, G. (2004). The Art of Start. Penguin Group. New York, NY.
- Leedy, P. D., Ormrod, J. E. (2015). Practical research planning and design. Pearson Education Limited. Essex, England.
- Merriam, S. B. (2009). Qualitative Research: A guide to design and implementation. San Francisco, CA. Jossey-Bass.
- Schutt, R. K. (2012). Science, Society, and Social Research. En R. K. Schut, *Investigating the Social World: The Process and Practice of Research*. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications INC.
- Serna G., H. (n.d.)Gerencia Estratégica, Decima edición, Colombia, Panamericana Editorial
- Stewart, T. (2013). *Classroom Research for Language Teachers*. Alexandria, Virgnia: TESOL International Asociation.
- Teachers Network Leadership Institute (1996). Making the case. New York, NY
- Varela, R. (2008). Innovación empresarial: Arte y Ciencia de la Creación de Empresas. Pearson Educación de Colombia. Santa Fé de Bogotá, D.C.
- UHL, A. et al (2011). *Conducting Action Research in the Foreign Language Classroom*. Washington D.C: National Capital Language Resource Center.